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The mathematical modeling of the long-term evolution of meandering rivers needs an efficient computation of the flow field. Indeed, the estimate of the near bank velocity, needed to determine the rate at which the outer bank migrates, cannot rely on the full numerical solution of the governing equations when considering the river evolution on geological time scales. The aim of the present contribution is twofold: determining the complete linear response of a meandering river to spatially varying channel axis curvature and width, exploiting the ability of the model to describe the morphological tendencies of alluvial rivers; and developing a computationally efficient tool that can be easily incorporated in long-term planform evolution models. The centrifugally induced secondary flow associated with channel axis curvature and longitudinal convection is accounted for by a suitable parametrization based on the structure of the three-dimensional flow field. Cross section width variations are accounted for through a suitable stretching of the transverse coordinate. The relevant momentum and mass conservation equations are then linearized by taking advantage of the fact that alluvial rivers often exhibit mild and long meander bends, as well as evident but relatively small width variations. The input data needed by the analytical solution are the spatial distribution of channel axis curvature and width variations, the mean slope of the investigated river reach, the characteristic grain size of the sediment bed and the flow discharge. The performances of the model, as well as its intrinsic limitations are discussed with reference to the comparison with the bed topography surveyed in a 21 km long reach of the Po River. The results indicate that, in the presence of wide, mildly curved and long bend and weak width variations, the river topography is described with a good accuracy, thus supporting the use of the model to investigate how a river could react to changes in planform geometry or external forcing. Moreover, the analytical character of the model implies a limited computational effort, facilitating a straightforward integration within the models used to simulate the planimetric evolution of alluvial rivers on geological time scales.


1. Introduction

Alluvial rivers usually exhibit quite complex planforms characterized by a wide variety of alternating bends that have attracted the interest of a large number of researchers (see the review by Seminara [2006, and references therein]). Much less attention has been paid to another striking feature observed in alluvial rivers (see Figure 1), namely the relatively regular spatial variations attained by the channel width. Actively meandering channels (sinuous point bar rivers) generally undergo spatial oscillations systematically correlated with channel curvature, with cross sections wider at bend apex than at crossings [Brice, 1975; Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, 2004; Hooke, 2007]. Conversely, rivers flowing in highly vegetated flood plains, i.e., single-thread rivers, may exhibit an opposite behavior, owing to the combined effects of bank erodibility and floodplain depositional processes, which, in turn, are strictly linked to vegetation cover [Allmendinger et al., 2005]. Some other streams (sinuous canaliform rivers) exhibit irregular width variations, without a clear correlation with channel curvature [Brice, 1975].

Similarly to curvature forcing induced by bends, the presence of along channel width variations may have remarkable effects on the flow field and sediment dynamics and, thereby, on the equilibrium bed configuration [Repetto et al., 2002; Zolezzi et al., 2012]. In particular, the formation of a central bar at a channel widening tends to divert the flow toward the channel banks, thus favoring erosion and widening of the river. Such enlargement, in turn, promotes sedimentation producing a subsequent narrowing and,
Eventually, a cyclic narrowing/widening sequence [Repetto et al., 2002; Hooke, 2007; Luchi et al., 2010]. The formation of a central bar is also a crucial process for understanding the dynamics of both chute cutoffs in meandering rivers [Seminara, 2006] and bifurcations in braided rivers [Federici and Paola, 2003]. The analysis carried out by Brice [1983] on a number of meandering streams subject to engineering realignments and relocations suggests that bend cutoff usually determines a widening of the new channel and acceleration in the growth rate of adjacent bends.

A reliable assessment of the flow field resulting from spatial distributions of channel axis curvature and cross section width, together with a physically based model simulating the outer bank erosion and the inner bank reconstruction [Parker et al., 2011] are the key ingredients for developing robust mathematical models that describe the morphodynamic evolution of alluvial rivers. Various attempts have been put forward to this aim. Numerical [Mosselman, 1998; Duan and Julien, 2005; Röuther and Olsen, 2007; Darby et al., 2002] and semianalytical models [Crosato, 2007; Chen and Duan, 2006; Motta et al., 2012] have been tested versus laboratory experiments and field data. Some of these models [Mosselman, 1998; Darby et al., 2002; Chen and Duan, 2006] include also mechanistic models of bank erosion that induce width adjustments when simulating the short-term (order of years/decades) migration of a channel. Theoretical three-dimensional nonlinear models have been applied to analyze the amplitude of width variations and their phase lag with respect to channel curvature fluctuations [Solari and Seminara, 2005]. More recently, Luchi et al. [2011] investigated the effects of periodic width oscillations on bend instability, accounting for the mutual nonlinear interactions between planimetric forcing induced by curvature and width variations. Spatial distribution of channel curvature typically determines the formation of a rhythmic bar-pool pattern strictly associated with the development of river meanders. Along channel width variations are characterized by a sequence of narrowing, yielding a central scour, alternated to the downstream development of a widening associated with the formation of a central bar. Finally, the 3-D fully nonlinear analytical model of flow and bed topography in meandering rivers developed by Bolla Pittaluga et al. [2009] to account for nonlinearity in sinuous mildly curved and long bends has been extended by Luchi et al. [2012] to treat spatial variations of channel width in a sequence of sine-generated meanders. The model suggests that, for a constant longitudinal free-surface slope, the equilibrium width oscillates with a frequency twice that of the channel curvature. These periodic oscillations are correlated with channel curvature, such that the maximum width is experienced close to inflection points while the minimum occurs close to bend apexes.

In this contribution, we present a morphodynamic model that predicts, at a linear level, the spatial distribution of the flow field and the equilibrium bed configuration of an alluvial river characterized by arbitrary (in general not periodic) distributions of both the channel axis curvature and the channel width. Linear models of the steady flow in meandering channels have played a major role in disclosing a variety of features of the meandering phenomenon [Seminara, 2006], in exploring the long-term (order of centuries) evolution [Howard, 1992; Sun et al., 1996; Frascati and Lanzoni, 2009], and in evaluating the possible existence of a statistically universal behavior of meandering rivers [Frascati and Lanzoni, 2010]. A detailed comparison of the performance of different linear models can be found in Camporeale et al. [2007] and Frascati and Lanzoni [2009]. In the following, we extend the model used by Frascati and Lanzoni [2009, 2010] to study the morphodynamic regime and the long-term evolution of alluvial rivers, by relaxing the assumption of constant channel width. The model, owing to its analytical character, provides a computationally sustainable and robust tool that can be easily incorporated in long-term models of river planform evolution. We also show, through a comparison with field data, that it can be used to rapidly assess the morphological tendencies of an alluvial river in response to variations in planform geometry or hydrodynamic forcing.

Figure 1. Examples of planform patterns of alluvial rivers typically exhibiting both curvature and channel width variations. (a) unknown river in the Amazon Basin; (b) Meander River (Alberta, Canada); and (c) Po River (Italy).
The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we derive a two-dimensional, depth-averaged model for flow and bed topography in alluvial meandering channels with both arbitrarily varying curvature and width. Section 3 is devoted to the linearized solution of the morphodynamic problem, to summarize the input data and to discuss the applicability conditions of the model. Some results, along with a direct application of the model to a test case (a reach of the Po River, Italy) are presented in section 4. Finally, section 5 concludes the paper drawing some conclusions.

2. Mathematical Formulation

The mathematical model formulated here describes the steady, nonuniform flow and sediment transport in channels with arbitrarily varying curvature and irregularly variable width. The governing two-dimensional equations are derived by depth-averaging the three-dimensional conservation equations and accounting for the dynamic effects of secondary flows induced by curvature and width variation forcing. A two-parameter perturbation expansion technique, considering perturbations induced by curvature and width variations, is adopted to linearize the governing equations.

2.1. The Three-Dimensional Model

Let us consider the steady flow occurring in a meandering cohesionless channel characterized by a slowly varying distribution of both channel axis curvature $C(s')$ and half width $B(s')$. Flow and bed topography are referred to an orthogonal intrinsic reference system $(s', n', z')$, where $s'$ is the longitudinal (streamwise) coordinate, $n'$ is the lateral coordinate orthogonal to $s'$, and $z'$ is the vertical coordinate pointing upward (see Figure 2). Hereafter a superscript asterisk will indicate dimensionless variables. In the case of channels with nonuniform width, it is convenient to define the following dimensionless variables

$$
(B^*, s^*) = B^*_{\text{avg}}(B, s), \quad n^* = B^* n, \\
(u^*, v^*, w^*) = U^*(u, v, w/\beta_u), \\
(D^*, h^*, z^*) = D^*_u (D, F^* h, z), \\
v_T^* = v_T \sqrt{C_f u}, \\
(q^*, q_n^*) = (q_f^*, q_n^*) \sqrt{g d^*_u} (q_f, q_n), 
$$

where $B^*_{\text{avg}}$ is the reach averaged half width, $u^* = (u^*, v^*, w^*)$ is the velocity vector (averaged over turbulence), $D^*$ is the local flow depth, $h^*$ is the free surface elevation, computed with respect to the local horizontal plane containing $n^*$, $v_T^*$ is the turbulent eddy viscosity, $q_i^* = (q_f^*, q_n^*)$ is the sediment flux per unit width, $g$ is the acceleration due to gravity, $\rho$ and $\rho_s$ are water and sediment density, respectively, and $d^*_s$ is sediment grain size (taken to be uniform). Furthermore, $C_f$ is the friction coefficient, $\beta_u = B^*_{\text{avg}}/D^*_u$ is the aspect ratio of the channel, $F_u = U^*/\sqrt{g D^*_u}$ is the Froude number and the subscript “u” refers to properties of uniform flow in a straight channel having constant width $2B^*_{\text{avg}}$, the same flow discharge and grain size of the meandering river, and a channel slope equal to the average slope in the meandering reach. A detailed discussion of how the local channel width is estimated is reported in section 3.3.

The starting point of our model is represented by the steady Reynolds equations for longitudinal and transversal momentum, along with the continuity equations for the fluid and solid phases. Observing that longitudinal and transverse gradients are much smaller than vertical gradients, owing to the relatively shallow depth of the flow, the dimensionless form of these equations reads as follows (see Appendix A):

$$
\begin{align*}
Nu_L u + B^* v u_a + w u_c + Nu C u v &= -N_u L h - \beta C f u + \beta_u \sqrt{C_f u} (v_T u_c) + C_u u v \\
Nu_L u + B^* v u_a + w u_c - Nu C u^2 &= B^* \beta_u + \beta_u \sqrt{C_f u} (v_T u_c) + C_u u^2 \\
N L h + (Nu C + B^* \frac{\partial}{\partial n} v + w u_c &= 0 \\
N L q_u + (Nu C + B^* \frac{\partial}{\partial n} q_u) &= 0
\end{align*}
$$

where a comma indicates partial derivative. In these equations, $C$ is the dimensionless channel axis curvature and $v$ is the curvature ratio, such that

$$
v = \frac{B^*_{\text{avg}}}{R^*_c} \quad C(s) = \frac{R^*_c}{R^*(s')} = O(1), \quad \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial s} = -v C(s)
$$

with $\theta$ the angle that the local tangent to the channel axis forms with the direction of an arbitrarily selected Cartesian axis of reference, $x^*$ (see Figure 2) and $R^*_c$ some characteristic value of the radius of curvature $R^*$ of the channel axis (e.g., its minimum value in the meandering reach). Furthermore, the longitudinal metric coefficient of the coordinate system, $N$, and the differential operator $L_b$ are defined as follows (see Appendix A):

$$
N = \frac{1}{1 + v n B C} \quad L_b = \frac{\partial}{\partial n} - \frac{n}{B} B \frac{\partial}{\partial n}
$$

Note that the operator $L_b$ arises as a consequence of the stretching of the coordinate $n$, which has been normalized with the local width $B^*(s')$ and, hence, varies in
following boundary and integral conditions:

\[ u = U(s, n)F(\xi) \]
\[ v = v_0(s, n, \xi) + V(s, n)F(\xi) \]

[8] Here and \( V \) denote the depth-averaged values of \( u \) and \( v \), \( v_0 \) denotes the local distribution of the transverse secondary flow and \( F \) is a dimensionless function describing the vertical structure of the uniform flow. Furthermore, \( \xi \) is a normalized vertical coordinate which reads

\[ \xi = \frac{z - (F^2_h h - D)}{D} \]

[9] Since the depth averages of \( u \) and \( v \), by definition, be equal to \( U \) and \( V \), from Equations (8), it follows that

\[ \int_{\xi_0}^{1} F(\xi) d\xi = 1 \quad \int_{\xi_0}^{1} \tilde{v}(s, n, \xi) d\xi = 0 \]

with \( \xi_0 \) the normalized reference level for no slip, a weakly dependent function of the longitudinal and lateral coordinates.

[10] The structures of the functions \( F \) and \( v_1 \) are obtained following the method proposed by Zolezzi and Seminara [2001]. The transverse momentum equation (3), rewritten in terms of the coordinate system \((s, n, \xi)\), is solved by means of an iterative procedure, assuming a slowly varying structure of the eddy viscosity system \((s, n, \xi)\), where the vertical distribution function \( N(\xi) \) is taken to coincide with that characteristic of uniform flow, corrected through a wake function [Dean, 1974]. On the basis of this formulation, at the leading order of approximation \( O(v^0) \), the function \( F(\xi) \) is found to follow the classical logarithmic distribution, corrected by the wake function (Figure 3a). Moreover, from the first of the integral conditions (10), it results that \( \xi_0 = \exp(-k/C_{fu} - 0.777) \), with \( k = 0.41 \), the von Karman’s constant.

[11] At the order \( O(v) \) we obtain

\[ \tilde{v}(s, n, \xi) = \frac{DUC}{\beta u \sqrt{C_{fu}}} \tilde{G}_0(\xi) + \frac{D^2(UC)^{s}}{\beta^2 C_{fu}} \tilde{G}_1(\xi) \]

[12] where the functions \( \tilde{G}_0(\xi) \) and \( \tilde{G}_1(\xi) \), obtained through the solutions of two second-order boundary value problems, describe the vertical structure of the secondary flow (Figure 3b). In particular, \( \tilde{G}_0(\xi) \) accounts for the vertical structure of the secondary flow associated with centrifugal effects under fully developed flow conditions (i.e., not depending on \( s \)), while \( \tilde{G}_1(\xi) \) is related to the phase lag between the secondary flow and the curvature induced by longitudinal convection. Note that the structure of secondary flow described by equation (11) is slightly different from that proposed by Zolezzi and Seminara [2001]. In fact, the function \( \tilde{G}_2(\xi) \), which appears in Zolezzi and Seminara [2001, equation (3.13)] turns out to be redundant if the continuity equation is used to rearrange the lateral momentum equation (3).

[13] The parametrization of the secondary flow here employed does not account for deviations of streamline curvatures with respect to that of the channel axis arising from width variations. Even though the present framework is sufficiently flexible to encompass also these deviations,
the use of the above described secondary flow parametrization is nevertheless reasonable at a first-order of approximation, and it is adopted here to keep the algebraic work at the lowest level of complexity. We discuss in Appendix B the possible effects of streamline curvature and show how, following Repetto et al. [2002], we can include these effects on transverse bottom shear stress.

[19] The problem formulated so far is tackled by substituting from the decomposition (8) and the relation (11) into the differential equations (2)–(5), written in terms of the normalized variable \( \xi \), and performing depth integration. At the leading order of approximation, the depth-averaged shallow-water equations governing the morphodynamics of meandering channels with variable width read

\[
(UU_{\alpha} + VU_{\alpha}) + H_{\alpha} + \beta_u \frac{\tau_n}{D} = \delta f_{01} + v f_{10} \quad (12)
\]

\[
(UV_{\alpha} + VV_{\alpha}) + H_{\alpha} + \beta_u \frac{\tau_n}{D} = \delta g_{01} + v g_{10} \quad (13)
\]

\[
(DU)_{\alpha} + (DV)_{\alpha} = \delta m_{01} + v m_{10} \quad (14)
\]

\[
q_{s,\alpha} + q_{s,n} = \delta m_{01} + v n_{10} \quad (15)
\]

where the momentum correction factor \( \int_{\xi_0}^{1} \mathcal{F}^{2}(\xi) d\xi \) accounting for vertical velocity gradients has been assumed to be \( \Delta 1 \). The parameter \( \delta \), quantifying the intensity of width variability along the streamwise direction, is defined as

\[
\delta = \frac{B_0^* - B^*_{\text{avg}}}{B^*_{\text{avg}}} \quad , \quad B = 1 + \delta B(s) \quad (16)
\]

having denoted with \( B_0^* \) some characteristic value of the half width \( B^* \) of the channel (e.g., its maximum value in the meandering reach) and with \( B = (B^* - B^*_{\text{avg}})/(B_0^* - B^*_{\text{avg}}) \) an \( O(1) \) quantity measuring the longitudinal variability of the width disturbances. Moreover, in equations (12)–(15), \( H = (h - \beta_u C_{fu} s) \) represents the free-surface elevation with respect to a given horizontal datum.

[19] The quantities \( f_{10}, f_{01}, g_{10}, g_{01}, m_{10}, m_{01}, n_{10}, \) and \( n_{01} \) which appear on the right-hand sides of equations (12)–(15) quantify the first-order forcing effects due to the presence of curvature and width variations. The expressions of these functions are given in Appendix C. Here it is sufficient to mention that they involve the coefficients

\[
k_i = \int_{\xi_0}^{1} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{G}_i d\xi \quad (i = 0, 1) \quad (17)
\]

which account for the dispersive effects due to nonlinear interactions associated with centrifugal and convective components of the secondary flow.

[20] The boundary conditions to be associated with equations (12)–(15) impose the physical requirement that channel walls are impermeable to flow and to sediment transport:

\[
-UB_{s,\alpha} + V = 0, \quad -q_{s,\alpha} + q_{s,n} = 0 \quad (n = \pm 1) \quad (18)
\]

[21] In order to fully close the problem, additional relations are needed for determining the bed shear stress \( \tau = (\tau_b, \tau_n) \) and the sediment flux per unit width \( q = (q_s, q_n) \).

Employing the decomposition (8) and the solutions for \( \mathcal{G}_0(\xi) \) and \( \mathcal{G}_1(\xi) \), we find

\[
(\tau_b, \tau_n) = C_f U^2 + V^2 \quad (U, V) \quad (19)
\]

where the quantity

\[
\tilde{V} = V + v \left( \frac{DUC}{\beta_u C_{fu}} k_2 + \frac{D(DUC)}{\beta_u^2 C_{fu}} k_3 \right) \quad (20)
\]

accounts for centrifugal and convective secondary flows effects through the coefficients

\[
k_2 = \left[ \frac{\mathcal{G}_0}{\mathcal{F} \mathcal{G}_i} \right]_{\xi_0} \quad k_3 = \left[ \frac{\mathcal{G}_1}{\mathcal{F} \mathcal{G}_i} \right]_{\xi_0} \quad (21)
\]

[22] The local value of the friction coefficient \( C_f \) generally depends on the bed configuration. Here we employ the usual logarithmic formula \( [\text{Engelund and Hansen, 1967}] \) for a plane bed, while the formula by \( [\text{Semenara, 1998; Parker et al., 2003}] \). We set:

\[
(q_s, q_n) = \Phi(\tau_b; D; \eta) \left( \frac{\tau_n}{|\tau|} - \frac{B_{\text{avg}}}{B} \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}} \eta_{\text{avg}} \right) \quad (22)
\]

where \( r \) is an empirical constant ranging about \( 0.5 \sim 0.6 \) \( [\text{Talmon et al., 1995}] \), and \( \eta = (F^2 H - D) \) is the dimensionless bed elevation. Depending on the bed configuration, several empirical or semi-empirical relationships can be used to estimate the local bed load intensity, \( \Phi \), which is a monotonically increasing function of the Shields stress \( \tau \) (a dimensionless form of the shear stress acting on the bed) for a given particle Reynolds number \( R_p \). In the following we adopt the Meyer-Peter and Müller formula as modified by \( [\text{Wong and Parker, 2006}] \). Note that, at the leading order of approximation (corresponding to uniform flow conditions in a straight channel) equation (22) must satisfy the zero-divergence condition, implying that \( \Phi \) is constant and equal to the uniform flow value \( \Phi_{\text{eq}} \).

[23] Finally, the above formulated problem is subject to the integral constraints stipulating that flow and sediment discharge must be constant at any cross section, and that the averaged reach slope should not be altered by the development of perturbations, namely:

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} U B \ dn = 2, \quad \int_{-1}^{1} \Phi B \ dn = 2 \Phi_{u} \quad , \quad (23)
\]

\[
\int_{0}^{L} \int_{-1}^{1} (F^2 H - D) B \ dn \ ds = \text{const} \quad (24)
\]

having denoted with \( L \) the overall streamwise length of the reach under investigation.
3. The Linearized Form of the Problem

[25] We now assume that the perturbations of uniform flow and bed topography induced by spatial variations of curvature (equation (6)) and width (equation (16)) are small enough to allow for linearization of equations (12)–(15) and (18). Taking advantage of the typically wide character of river bends and of the weakness of width variations, we expand the solution in powers of the small perturbation parameters \( \nu \) and \( \delta \):

\[
(U, V, D, H) = (1, 0, 1, H_0) + \delta(u_b, \nu_b, d_b, h_b) + \nu(\tau, \tau_b, \Phi) + \cdots \tag{25}
\]

where \( H_0 = 1 - \beta C_{fb} \tau_b \), while \((u_t, \nu_t, \tau_t, h_c)\) and \((u_b, \nu_b, d_b, h_b)\) are the perturbations associated with channel axis curvature and channel width variations, respectively. To derive the differential problems governing the morphodynamics of meandering rivers with varying width, we next expand \( C_f \), \( \tau_t \), and \( \Phi \) in the form:

\[
C_f = C_{fb}(1 + \nu C_{fb} + \delta C_{f2})
\]

\[
\tau_t = \tau_{t0}(1 + \nu \tau_{t1} + \delta \tau_{t2})
\]

\[
\Phi = \Phi_{\nu}(1 + \nu \Phi_1 + \delta \Phi_2) \tag{26}
\]

[26] This approach implicitly assumes that the friction coefficient, the Shields parameter, and the intensity of sediment transport can be evaluated in terms of local values of flow and sediment parameters, a quasi-equilibrium assumption, which is justified by the slowly varying character of both flow field and sediment dynamics. The expressions of coefficients \( C_{fb}, \tau_{t0}, \Phi_{\nu}, (i = 1, 2) \) are given in Appendix C.

[27] A set of perturbed equations can be obtained at each order of approximation by substituting expansions (25) and (26) into the governing 2-D equations (12)–(15) and (18). In particular, zero order terms in the perturbation parameters \( \nu \) and \( \delta \) describe the flow in a straight, uniform channel (i.e., the uniform base flow); first-order \( \nu \) terms define a set of equations describing the deviation of the flow field from the uniform solution due to the channel axis curvature; first-order \( \delta \) terms provide a set of equations that describe the deviation of the flow field induced by spatial variations of channel width. Higher-order terms are neglected in a linearized contest.

3.1. The Linear Response Forced by Width Variations

[28] The linear response of flow field and bed configuration to the forcing induced by channel width variations is described by the \( O(\delta) \) homogeneous linear differential problem:

\[
\mathcal{L} \begin{pmatrix} u_b \\ \nu_b \\ d_b \\ h_b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{27}
\]

with the associated nonhomogeneous boundary conditions:

\[
\nu_b = \pm B', \quad (F' h_b - d_b)_a = 0 \quad (n = \pm 1) \tag{28}
\]

[29] Here \( B' \) denotes the first derivative of width variations while \( \mathcal{L} \) is the linear differential operator:

\[
\mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} + a_1 & 0 & a_2 & \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \\ 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} + a_3 & a_2 & \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \\ a_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} + a_3 & a_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} + a_6 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \nu^2} & -a_5 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \nu^2} \end{pmatrix} \tag{29}
\]

with \( a_i \) and \( b_i \) \((i = 1, 6)\) constant coefficients reported in Appendix C.

[30] The problem given by equations (27) and (28) represents an extension to channels with an arbitrary width distribution of the theory developed by Repetto et al. [2002] for channels with small-amplitude, sinusoidal width variations. The forcing due to width variations is now provided by the boundary conditions (28).

[31] In order to solve in closed form the above partial differential equation problem, we transform it into a linear system of ordinary differential equations by adopting the method of separation of variables and setting:

\[
u_b = u_b + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{bn} \cos(M_n \nu) \tag{30}
\]

\[
u_b = \nu_b + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_{bn} \sin(M_n \nu) \tag{31}
\]

\[d_b = \bar{d}_b \nu^2 + d_{b0} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{bn} \cos(M_n \nu) \tag{32}
\]

\[h_b = \bar{h}_b \nu^2 + h_{b0} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{bn} \cos(M_n \nu) \tag{33}
\]

[32] Note that the Fourier expansions (30) along with conditions (31) satisfy the boundary conditions and respect the antisymmetric character of \( \nu_b \) and the symmetric character of \( u_b, \nu_b, \) and \( h_b \) due to width variations.

[33] By means of integral conditions (24), the \( O(\delta) \) solution for the first Fourier mode \((m = 0)\) reads

\[
u_{b0} = \bar{\nu}_b = B'
\]

\[u_{b0} = c_1 B \tag{34}
\]

\[d_{b0} = c_2 B - \bar{d}_b \lambda_{b0} \tag{35}
\]

\[h_{b0} = -c_1 B - \bar{h}_b \lambda_{b0} - (a_1 c_1 + a_2 c_2) \int_0^1 B(s) d\xi \tag{36}
\]

where

\[
c_1 = \frac{a_4 - 1}{a_4 - a_5} \quad c_2 = \frac{1 - a_4}{a_4 - a_5} \quad \lambda_{b0} = \frac{1}{3} \tag{37}
\]

[34] The solution for the higher lateral Fourier modes \((m > 0)\) is then obtained by substituting relations (30) and...
The Linear Response Forced by Curvature

Frascati and Lanzoni 2006; cbmj equation (34) reads depending on characteristic exponents for the differential problem:

\[ \left( \frac{d^4}{dx^4} + \sigma_{yy} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \sigma_{x2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \sigma_{yy} \frac{d}{dx} + \sigma_{xx} \right) u_{bb} = \sum_{j=1}^{4} \rho_j \frac{dB}{dx} \] (34)

\[ (v_{bb}, d_{bb}, h_{bb}) = \sum_{j=1}^{4} (v_{bb}, d_{bb}, h_{bb}) \frac{d^{-1}u_{bb}}{dx^{-1}} + A_{bb} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \left[ g_{bb} \int_0^s B(\xi) e^{\lambda_{bb} (s-\xi)} d\xi + g_{bb} \right] \] (35)

where \( A_{bb} = (-1)^4/4M^{4} \) quantifies the decaying contribution of higher lateral Fourier modes, while \( \sigma_{yy}, \sigma_{x2}, v_{bb}, d_{bb}, h_{bb}, v_{bb}^{(b)}, d_{bb}^{(b)}, h_{bb}^{(b)} \) are coefficients depending on the relevant physical parameters \( (\beta, d, \tau, \text{m}) \).

[35] The nonhomogeneous, constant coefficient ordinary differential equation (34) can be solved in closed form by using the method of variation of parameters. Once the solution for \( u_{bb} \) is known, the remaining dependent variables can be solved in cascade for the \( m \)th Fourier mode simply by employing equation (35). The general solution of equation (34) reads

\[ u_{bb} = \sum_{j=1}^{4} c_{bb} e^{\lambda_{bb} s} + A_{bb} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \left[ g_{bb} \int_0^s B(\xi) e^{\lambda_{bb} (s-\xi)} d\xi + g_{bb} \right] \] (36)

where \( g_{bb} (j = 1, 4; k = 0, 1) \) are constant coefficients depending on \( \beta, d, \tau, \text{m} \), while \( \lambda_{bb} (m = 1, \infty; j = 1, 4) \) are characteristic exponents for the \( m \)th lateral Fourier mode, and \( c_{bb} (j = 1, 4) \) are integration constants to be specified on the basis of the boundary conditions at the channel ends. The long algebraic expressions of the various coefficients appearing in equations (34), (35), and (36) and (37) are reported in the Appendix, available as online supporting information.

[36] The flow and bed topography at a given section are then affected not only by the local value of the width, accounted for through \( B \), but also through the four convolution integrals, by the hydrodynamics and morphodynamics of the reaches located upstream (downstream influence) or downstream (upstream influence). This effect is analogous to that documented for the curvature forcing [Lanzoni et al., 2006; Frascati and Lanzoni, 2009].

### 3.2. The Linear Response Forced by Curvature

[37] The linear response of the flow field and of the bed configuration to the forcing induced by channel curvature is described by the \( O(\nu) \) nonhomogeneous linear differential problem:

\[ \mathcal{L} \begin{pmatrix} u_c \\ v_c \\ d_c \\ h_c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} nb_1 C \\ b_2 C + b_3 C' + b_4 C'' \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \] (37)

satisfying the non-homogeneous boundary conditions

\[ u_c = 0, \quad (F^2 d_c - d_c)_0 = b_3 C + b_4 C' \quad (n = \pm 1) \] (38)

where \( C' \) and \( C'' \) are the first and second derivatives of the curvature.

[38] The problem provided by equations (37) and (38) governs the morphodynamics of constant width meandering rivers and is equivalent to that derived by Zolezzi and Seminara [2001]. It can be transformed into a linear system of ordinary differential equations by introducing the following Fourier expansions that satisfy the boundary conditions and respect the symmetric character of \( u_c \) and the antisymmetric character of \( v_c \) and \( h_c \) typically associated with alternate channel bending,

\[ u_c = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} u_{cm} \sin(M_m n) \] (39)
\[ v_c = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} v_{cm} \cos(M_m n) \]
\[ d_c = (\bar{R}_1 C + \bar{R}_2 C' + \bar{R}_3 C'') n + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_{cm} \sin(M_m n) \]
\[ h_c = (\bar{T}_1 C + \bar{T}_2 C' + \bar{T}_3 C'') n + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} h_{cm} \sin(M_m n) \]

where \( M_m = (2m + 1)\pi/2 \), and

\[ \bar{R}_1 = b_2 \quad \bar{R}_2 = b_3 \quad \bar{R}_3 = b_5 \]
\[ \bar{d}_1 = F_0^2 \bar{R}_1 - b_4 \quad \bar{d}_2 = F_0^2 \bar{R}_2 - b_6 \quad \bar{d}_3 = F_0^2 \bar{R}_3 \]

[39] The linear system of ordinary differential equations obtained by substituting expansions (39) into equations (37) and (38) can again be solved in closed form using the method of variation of parameters. In particular, the solution for \( u_{cm} \) reads

\[ u_{cm} = \sum_{j=1}^{4} c_{cm} e^{\lambda_{cm} s} + A_{cm} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \left[ g_{cm} \int_0^s C(\xi) e^{\lambda_{cm} (s-\xi)} d\xi + g_{cm} \right] \] (40)

where \( g_{cm}, \lambda_{cm}, \) and \( c_{cm} \) are analogous to the coefficients \( g_{bb}, \lambda_{bb}, \) and \( c_{bb} \) obtained by solving the problem forced by width variations. For the sake of completeness, also the algebraic expressions of the various coefficients appearing in (39) and (40) are reported in the Appendix available as online supporting information. A comment is here worthwhile on the integration constants \( c_{cm} \), reflecting the effects of the boundary conditions at the channel ends. Even though these constants can have a certain importance in the numerical simulations of the long-term river planform evolution [Lanzoni and Seminara, 2006], their influence on the flow field is local, owing to the rapid decay of the exponential functions they multiply. Therefore, both \( c_{cm} \) and \( c_{cm} \) can be set to zero when calculating the equilibrium bed topography that establishes in a river reach for a given flow discharge.

### 3.3. Input Data and Applicability Conditions

[40] The mathematical model described so far can be easily implemented using the MATLAB language. Indeed, the analytical character of the solution implies a moderate computational effort. In particular, the input data needed for running the model consist of: (i) the spatial distributions of
Figure 4. (a) Planform configuration of a periodic sequence of sine generated meanders characterized by sinusoidal width variations. Solid red line indicates the analyzed cross section. The planimetric scale is expressed in terms of reach-averaged half-width units. (b) Streamwise distribution of $C$ and $B$. It has been assumed, without loss of generality, that channel width oscillates at double frequency with respect to channel curvature ($\lambda_h = 2\lambda_c$). (c and d) The longitudinal profiles of depth-averaged perturbation components induced by channel width and curvature variations, respectively, evaluated at the outer bank. (e–g) The cross-sectional distributions of the depth-averaged velocity components $(U,V)$ and bed elevation $\eta$. Solid lines are the periodic analytical solution provided by either Blondeaux and Seminara [1985] or Repetto et al. [2002], whereas black dots indicate the present theory. The values of the relevant dimensionless parameters are $\beta = 12$, $\tau_s = 0.1$, $d_s = 0.01$, $v = 0.05$, $\delta = 0.25$, $\lambda_c = 0.1$, $\lambda_h = 0.2$, plane bed. Flow is from left to right.

the channel axis and the river width, expressed in terms of the longitudinal curvilinear coordinate $s$ and discretized by points (the discretization step being of the same order of magnitude of the average width); (ii) the water discharge conveyed by the river, $Q^*$; (iii) the average longitudinal bed slope of the considered river reach; and (iv) the characteristic sediment grain size, $d_s^*$. All these data allow one to determine univocally the dimensionless parameters used as input data to the model, namely the aspect ratio of the channel, $\beta = B_{avg}/D_u^*$; the dimensionless sediment grain size, $d_s^* = d_s^*/D_u^*$; the Shields parameter for the reference uniform flow, $\tau_s^* u^*$; and the particle Reynolds number, $R_p$.

[41] The coordinates of the channel axis and the river banks need to be preliminarily processed in order to obtain the curvature and width distributions as a function of the intrinsic longitudinal coordinate $s$. These distributions are
then smoothed with a Savitzky-Golay filter to avoid numerical problems in evaluating the derivatives. After the filtering, the points belonging to the bank and channel axis lines are remeshed, and the river width and curvature at every grid point are calculated. A low-pass band filter is finally applied in order to further smooth width and curvature variations and, hence, avoid spurious, high frequency fluctuations in the velocity field. After these preliminary procedures, the flow field (i.e., the velocity, the water elevation, the flow depth and, hence, the bed elevation) is calculated on a curvilinear two-dimensional grid (i.e., in the plane $s, n$).

4.3. Testing the Solution: Periodic Perturbations

Pittaluga and Seminara should be small. This implies that the bends are long enough by the topographic steering due to the bar-pool pattern. $N - 1$ does not differ significantly from one, and width variation wave numbers, $i$ is the imaginary unit, and “c.c.” denotes the complex conjugate.

[46] Figures 4c–4g show the comparison between the analytical solutions of Blondeaux and Seminara [1985] and Repetto et al. [2002] and the present theory applied to the periodic planform depicted in Figure 4a. The overlap between the various solutions confirms the reliability of the present formulation. In order to obtain such accuracy, particular care has been devoted to the computation of the four convolution integrals, which appear in equations (36) and (40). Both the functions $C$ and $B$ have been assumed to vary linearly between two consecutive nodes, and the integration has been performed by using a semianalytical approach. Moreover, taking advantage of the fact that the functions to be integrated decay exponentially, the integration has been performed by using a semianalytical approach. Further details (see Frascati and Lanzoni [2009] for further details).

4. Comparison With Field Observations

[47] The performance of the model has been tested through a comparison with field observations, collected in a reach of the middle Po River (Figure 5). The Po river flows eastward across northern Italy through the Pianura Padana floodplain; its length, from its spring on Monviso mountain to the delta debouching into the Adriatic Sea, is about 652 km. Its drainage basin, including most of the Italian Alpine slopes, the Po plain (Pianura Padana), and the Emilian slopes of the Apennine mountains, has an area of about 74,000 km$^2$ with a population of 16 million people. The Po river presents a wide variety of morphological features along its path, including multi channel braided reaches and single thread meandering/sinusuous reaches.

[48] The considered reach is about 21 km long, has an average width of 267 m, a tortuosity $\sigma_t = 1.23$, and an overall sinuosity lower than 1.5. The upstream section of the reach is located just before the confluence with the Parma stream (near the town of Casalmaggiore), while the downstream section is located after the confluence with the Enza stream (near the town of Boretto). The average bed slope, estimated by least squares linear interpolation of the average bottom elevations calculated for 15 sections recently surveyed along the reach [Agenzia Interregionale per il Fiume Po, 2005], is equal to 0.02% (Figure 6a).

[49] The low discharge river bed is composed by a bimodal mixture of sand and gravel (Figure 6b) with geometric mean grain size $d_{50} = 1.6$ mm. The presence of this mixture is strictly associated with the coarse sediment supply provided by the Parma and Enza streams during floods events. These streams, in fact, due to their proximity to the Apennine Mountains, have a high longitudinal gradient and during flood events can transport relatively coarse material as suspended load. These coarser sediments then deposit on the finer bed sediments of the Po River, owing to the lower flow strength characterizing it.

[50] Longitudinal river bank protection and groins are extensively present along the stream for navigation purposes and strongly affect the river morphology, enhancing the incision of the river bed [Colombo and Filippi, 2010].
investigated reach is a typical example of a sinuous point bar river, with a single thread section (the active channel) flanked by wider expansion zones contained within the main river levees. The secondary banks delimiting the single thread section are made of varying grain size material, from coarse sand to silt and are protected except along the segments where the river is allowed to flood the adjacent storage zones. The bed forms within the active channel consist mainly of sandy point bars that are submerged for discharges above about 1000 – 1500 m³/s. These bed forms appear to be remarkably stable: only some minor changes have been observed in the period 1982–2005, despite the occurrence of three major flood events (November 1994, October 2000, and November 2002). The longitudinal riverbank protection allows the inundation of adjacent expansion flood plains for a discharge of about 3000 m³/s, corresponding to the ordinary flood, i.e., the discharge that is exceeded at least 10 days a year [Autorità di Bacino del Fiume Po, 2007]. Owing to the relevant incision experienced by this rivers reach, bankfull conditions are approached only during major, less frequent floods, for discharges of about 4000 – 5000 m³/s. The bankfull stage then corresponds to the elevations of the main levees of the river.

As previously discussed, the channel width relevant for morphological equilibrium has to be estimated with reference to the morphologically active part of the channel cross section, by neglecting the shallower cross-section regions where, under formative discharge conditions, no sediment transport occurs. We then determined the single thread section width, excluding the expansion zones that are inundated for floods larger than the ordinary one and the region above the secondary banks. Figure 6c shows the along stream distributions of the channel active width extracted from the satellite image of Figure 5 and the topographic and thematic maps provided by Autorità di Bacino del Fiume Po [2009]. The dimensionless intensity of width variations takes the value δ = 0.761 while the curvature ratio is ν = 0.125. The mean value of the dimensionless intrinsic wave number is λc = 0.03, and hence, the bends can be considered long, i.e., the topographic component of the secondary flow, being of order O(4λc) = 0.12, is weak.

Sediment grain size and water discharge are two major input requirements for the model. Their choice is not straightforward since the model does not account for graded sediment and for flow discharge variations observed during floods. Nevertheless, we investigate the performance of the model by varying $d^*_s$ and $Q^*$ within physically plausible ranges, discussing whether the values ensuring the best fit with observed data provide a reasonable description of the sediment bed composition and of the discharge responsible for shaping the surveyed bed topography.

Figure 7 shows the overall error $\epsilon$ along the investigated reach, computed as the mean of the absolute value of the differences between observed and calculated bed elevations. It appears that accounting for both curvature and width variation effects (white circles) invariably produces an improvement of the solution (i.e., a lower error). The uniform flow conditions yielding the lower values of $\epsilon$ are as follows: $Q = 1550$ m³/s, $D^*_u = 4.5$ m, $U^*_u = 1.3$ m/s, given a sediment grain size $d^*_s = 3$ mm. The corresponding dimensionless input parameters are $\beta_u = 29.8; d_k = 0.00070; \tau_{*u} = 0.18; R_p = 661$. The parameter $\nu/\sqrt{C_{nu}}$ controlling the intensity of the centrifugally driven secondary flow, takes the value 0.057, implying mildly curved bends. Finally, the maximum value attained by the dimensionless groups $\nu \sqrt{\beta/\sqrt{C_{nu}}}$ and $\beta \sqrt{\beta \lambda_c}$ is 0.716, at the limit for applying a linearized model. We can then conclude that the river reach under investigation is characterized by wide (small $\nu$),
of the investigated reach (as well as the flow resistance) is likely strongly influenced by the coarser sediment brought during flood events by the Parma and Enza torrents.

[55] The flow discharge ($\approx 1550$ m$^3$/s) that ensures the best agreement between observed and calculated topography is slightly larger than the discharge for which the point bars start to be submerged ($\approx 1000–1500$ m$^3$/s) and is lower than both the ordinary flood discharge ($\approx 3000$ m$^3$/s), for which the expansion areas adjacent to the main channel start to be flooded, and the bankfull discharge ($\approx 4000–5000$ m$^3$/s). Also this result is reasonable. Experimental observations and common experience suggest that the river topography is usually determined by flood events of moderate intensity, which recur much more frequently, rather than by extreme floods [Wolman and Miller, 1960]. Strong morphological changes are likely affecting the river bed during flood events, acting on a time scale comparable with that of the flood. The theoretical and experimental analyses carried out by Tubino [1991] suggest that, under unsteady flow conditions, a damping of bar dimensions occurs during the rising of a flood while bar amplitude attains a maximum during the longer falling stage. A similar behavior has been documented by Bolla Pittaluga and Seminara [2011], who investigated the nonlinear response of the system as the externally imposed flood duration varies with respect to the morphological time scale. The maximum bed scour reached at dynamic equilibrium was found to be invariably lower than the steady value.
corresponding to peak discharge. Moreover, the maximum scour experienced at a particular location during the passage of the flood may be larger than the final equilibrium value owing to a temporal overshooting. These results suggest that a discharge smaller than the peak flood value should be considered in order to predict the large scale bed form features observed when surveying a river bed. In the present case, the steady discharge ensuring the better agreement between predicted and observed bed topography is about half of the ordinary flood discharge, a reasonable result in view of the unsteady effects discussed above and the well known tendency of linearized model to overestimate the amplitude of bed topography.

[56] Figure 8 shows the comparison between the computed cross-section bed elevations and those resulting from the survey carried out by AIPO in 2005. Note that the plots refer to the perturbations of the bed elevation with respect to a hypothetical undisturbed sloping flat bed (i.e., the elevation due to the average slope is removed). Flood plains are excluded from this representation since, as mentioned above, the formative flow discharge is supposed to be constrained within the main river bed, owing to the diffuse presence of longitudinal bank protections. It appears that the overall agreement is remarkably good. Indeed, not only the maximum and minimum bed elevation within a cross section are generally reproduced, but also the alternating transverse bending of the river bed due to curvature and width variation forcing. This latter result can be better appreciated from the comparison reported in Figure 9, showing a color map of the computed bed topography along a portion of the investigated river reach and the corresponding aerial photo. In particular, channel width variations determine a generalized reduction of the scour and an enhanced deposition in the correspondence of the upstream bend, while just an opposite trend is exhibited by the relatively mild curve located in the second half of the reach (compare Figures 9a–9c).

5. Discussion

[57] Considering the limitations inherent in linearization, we have shown that the present model can be also applied to rapidly assess the morphological tendencies of an alluvial river. The overall comparison with the bed topography observed in a typical reach of the Po River (Italy) is quite good, provided that suitable values of the characteristic sediment bed size and the flow discharge are selected. We then suggest that, after such a preliminary calibration, the model can be profitably used to investigate how the river bed topography likely reacts to changes in external forcing (e.g., variations in the hydrological regime) or in the river planform geometry (e.g., associated with engineering works or restoration activities). Indeed, the analytical character of the model, unlike the complete two-dimensional movable bed models, allows a fast recognition of the equilibrium configuration attained under given planform geometry, mean bed slope, characteristic grain size, and formative flow discharge. Note that the analysis of the equilibrium configuration of a given river reach by using a complete two-dimensional mathematical model is not only extremely time consuming, since the equilibrium is attained only asymptotically, but is also likely influenced by the initial condition used for the bed topography. 
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The sensitivity analysis carried out by varying the relevant dimensionless parameters (the width to depth ratio, $\beta$, the dimensionless grain size, $d_*$, and the Shields stress $\tau_{so}$) indicated that when the highest values of $O^*$ or the lowest values of $d_*$ were employed, the maximum height of the sediment bars and the maximum scour underwent a generalized increase along the reach. On the other hand, the bed form position along the reach (i.e., the phase lag with respect to bend apex or to the location of the widest cross section) was only slightly influenced by the change of the input parameters within the investigated ranges.

Clearly, the morphological tendencies of the river are predicted only qualitatively when the limits of applicability of the model are not satisfied. We briefly recall here these intrinsic limitations. First of all, it relies on a linearized treatment of the governing equations, which take advantage of the relatively slow variations of channel axis curvature and of channel width often characterizing alluvial rivers. The model, hence, fails to describe the behavior of sharp bends. Moreover, even in the case of mild and long meanders typically exhibited by alluvial rivers, the finite size of bed perturbations should be accounted for if the aspect ratio of the channel is sufficiently large and the Shields stress is not too small ($\beta \sqrt{\frac{\tau_{so}}{\beta \sqrt{C_{so}}}} = O(1)$) [Bolla Pittaluga and Seminara, 2011]. Second, the model does not account for flow unsteadiness typical of floods. This is an issue that should be investigated in the near future to clarify the possibility of modeling the morphological effects of variable hydraulic regime in terms of a formative discharge. Another restriction of the model is related to the uniform sediment assumption. The selective transport of sediment with different grain sizes and the resulting grain sorting patterns, in fact, can significantly affect the river morphology. The transport in suspension, not considered here, can be relevant as well. Finally, the river reach is assumed to be in equilibrium, i.e., no net erosion and deposition is assumed, and no sediments sources or sinks external to the system are considered.

6. Conclusions

The main conclusions of this paper can be summarized as follows:

We developed an analytical model yielding the linear response of a meandering river to spatial distributions of channel axis curvature and cross-section width that, as typically observed in nature, can vary irregularly, although maintaining small values of the dimensionless curvature ($\nu$) and the intensity of width oscillations ($\delta$). The model describes the linear combination of the laterally antisymmetric flow field and bed topography pattern typical of curvature-driven point bars ($O(\nu)$ solution), and the laterally symmetrical pattern resulting from central bars induced by width variations ($O(\delta)$ solution). Both patterns are fundamental in controlling
the rate of outer bank erosion and of inner bank deposition and, hence, in ensuring a reliable and robust simulation of planform meander migration.

The model has been found to provide a correct prediction of the river morphology in the presence of wide mildly curved and long bends, as well as weak variations. Indeed, under these conditions the amplitude of bed deformations are small with respect to flow depth, thus allowing linearization. Moreover, mixed nonlinear interactions $O(v\delta)$ and $O(v^2)$, determining how the alternate point bar pattern due to channel curvature is affected by variations as well as by the dynamics of mid-channel bars in constant width curved channels, can be neglected. Similarly, local streamline curvature effects are likely to play a minor role in the parameterization of secondary flow effects, unless the bend is relatively narrow ($v = O(1)$).

Finally, the analytical character of the model ensures a straightforward integration within the mathematical models used to simulate the long term planimetric evolution of meandering rivers, with only a small increase of the computational effort as compared to the linearized flow field models usually adopted.

Appendix A: Derivation of Equations (2)–(6)

In order to derive the governing equations (2)–(6), we consider the Reynolds averaged momentum and continuity equations for an incompressible steady flow, written with respect to the Cartesian coordinates $x'$, $y'$, and $z'$. Here $x'$, $y'$ is a horizontal plane and $z'$ coincides with the vertical pointing upward. Observing that in the investigated problem, the horizontal scale of the relevant hydrodynamic processes largely exceeds the flow depth, we can assume that the pressure is distributed hydrostatically along $z'$ and replaces the pressure gradient with the slope of the free surface. Moreover, if we restrict our attention to the central part of the channel (neglecting the bank region), the slowly varying character of the flow field implies that the horizontal derivatives of the stress tensor can also be neglected. Further assuming a classical Boussinesq eddy-viscosity closure [Tennekes and Lumley, 1972] for the remaining components of the additional Reynolds stresses, we obtain

$$ u u_{x'} + v u_{y'} + w u_{z'} = -H_{x} + (\nu T u, z), z $$

$$ u v_{x'} + v v_{y'} + w v_{z'} = -H_{y} + (\nu T v, z), z $$

$$ u_{x'} + v_{y'} + w_{z'} = 0 $$

where $H = F_{2}D_{s}^{*}H'_{s}$ is the water surface elevation with respect to a given horizontal datum, $S_{x}$ and $S_{y}$ are the bed slopes in the $x$ and $y$ directions, and the normalized coordinates read $(x, y, z) = (x'/B_{h}^{*}, y'/B_{h}^{*}, z'/D_{s})$.

In order to represent a natural channel with curved alignment and variable width, we then introduce the orthogonal curvilinear coordinate system depicted in Figure 2, such that $n$ is horizontal and $z$ points upward. However, while in Cartesian coordinates the differentials $dx, dy, dz$ correspond to distances measured along each of the three Cartesian coordinates axes, the analogous differentials in curvilinear coordinates do not necessarily have the same interpretation. Indeed, because of axis curvature, horizontal distances measured along different longitudinal coordinate surfaces are in general not equal when moving from one transverse coordinate surface to another. The metric coefficients $h_{x}, h_{y}$, and $h_{z}$ account for this fact. In our case, $n$ and $z$ are rectilinear axes, and hence, $h_{n} = 1, h_{y} = \cos \theta \sim 1$ with $\theta$ is the angle that $z$ forms with the vertical. Recalling the expression for the various operators in orthogonal curvilinear coordinate (see, e.g., Batchelor [2000]), we eventually obtain the following:

$$ \frac{u u_{x'}}{h_{x}} + v u_{y'} + w u_{z'} + \frac{v u_{x'}}{h_{x}} = -\frac{H_{s}}{h_{x}} + (\nu T u, z), z $$

$$ 1 \frac{u u_{x'}}{h_{x}} + v u_{y'} + w u_{z'} - \frac{1}{h_{x}} u'^{2}h_{s} = -H_{n} + (\nu T v, z), z $$

$$ u_{x'} + (\nu T w, v)_{y'} + (\nu T w, z')_{z'} = 0 $$

with $h_{n} = 1 + n'/r'd(s') = 1 + v_{0}nC$. In order to obtain equations (2)–(4), we observe that $H' = h' - g'Ss'$. Under uniform flow conditions, the channel axis slope is $S = C_{p}F_{n}^{2}$ and, consequently, $H = h - \beta C_{p}s$. We next must consider that the coordinate $n$ has been normalized with the local half channel width $B'(s')$ and, hence, the following derivation chain rule has to be used:

$$ \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + n B_{s} \frac{\partial}{\partial B} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} $$

The procedure followed to derive the Exner equation (5) is essentially similar to that leading to the continuity equation (4).

Appendix B: Correction Due to Local Streamline Curvature Effect on Transverse Bed Shear Stress

The streamline curvature $C_{s}^{*}$ of the depth-averaged flow occurring in a curved channel can be expressed through the following dimensionless equation [Mosselman, 1998]:

$$ v C_{s} = v C - \frac{V_{x}s}{U} $$

where the second term on the right side accounts for the deviation of the streamline curvature from that of the streamwise coordinate line that, in the present case, coincides with the channel axis. The effects of this term are of crucial importance when considering a straight channel subject to width variations [Repetto et al., 2002]. Indeed, in this case ($C = 0$), it is just the local streamline curvature that drives the secondary flow. In the case of a curved river reach of constant width, both the curvature of the channel axis and its correction due to the local streamline curvature determine the intensity of the centrifugally driven secondary flow. Whether the former control prevails on the second (as is usually assumed) depends on the parameter $v$. The effects of streamline curvature are likely important in narrow meandering bends ($v = O(1)$), where the free vortex mechanism, whereby the maximum streamwise velocity alternates from one bank to the other, is enhanced. For a given channel axis curvature, streamline curvature effects increase with channel width; conversely, for a given channel width, they are enhanced as the channel axis curvature increases.

An approximate assessment of these effects, involving a reasonable amount of algebra, can be obtained by including the streamline curvature in the term accounting for
centrifugal effects on transverse bottom shear stress [Repetto et al., 2002]. The quantity (20) then modifies as

$$\bar{v} = V + v \left[ \frac{DU}{\beta_\mu \sqrt{C_{fu}}} \left( C - \frac{V_s}{U} \right) k_2 + \frac{D(DUC)}{\beta_\mu^2 C_{fu}} k_3 \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (B1)

[70] Recalling the expansions (25), it can be readily demonstrated that the operator $\mathcal{L}$ becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + a_1 & 0 & a_2 \\
0 & \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + a_3 \right) & 0 \\
4a_4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & a_5 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + a_6 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} & -a_6 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}
\end{pmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (B2)

where

$$a_7 = 1 - k_2 \sqrt{C_{fu}} \quad a_8 = -\frac{k_2}{\beta_\mu \sqrt{C_{fu}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (B3)

[71] In the example of the Po River here considered, the solution with the local streamline corrections implies only minor differences with respect to that obtained by simply considering the channel axis curvature.

**Appendix C:**

**C1. Coefficients of Equations (12)–(15)**

$$f_{10} = -\eta \left( \frac{V U_{ss} + \beta_\mu \tau_s}{D} - \frac{V U_s}{V_{ss}} - (k_0 \Gamma_{se} + k_1 \Gamma_{si}) \right)$$

$$f_{01} = n B \left( U U_{ss} + H_{ss} + \beta_\mu \tau_s \right)$$

$$g_{10} = -\rho \left( \frac{V U_{ss} + H_{ss} + \beta_\mu \tau_s}{D} \right)$$

$$g_{01} = n B U_{ss} - B \left( \frac{V U_{ss} + H_{ss} + \beta_\mu \tau_s}{D} \right)$$

$$m_{10} = -\frac{C[p(DV)_s + D V]}{m_{01} = n B U_{ss} - B(DU)_s}$$

$$n_{10} = -C(q_{n_s} + n_{g_s})$$

$$n_{01} = n B U_{ss} - B q_{n_s}$$

where

$$\Gamma_{se} = \frac{(D^2 U^2 C)_{si}}{D \beta_\mu \sqrt{C_{fu}}} \quad \Gamma_{si} = \frac{(D^2 U^2 C)_{ss}}{D \beta_\mu \sqrt{C_{fu}}}$$

$$\Gamma_0 = \frac{(D^2 U V C)_{s}}{D \beta_\mu \sqrt{C_{fu}}} \quad \Gamma_1 = \frac{(D^2 U V)_{ss}}{D \beta_\mu \sqrt{C_{fu}}}$$

**C2. Coefficients of Equations (26)**

$$C_1 = C_{T1} u_e + (C_D + C_{T2}) d_e$$

$$C_2 = C_{T1} u_b + (C_D + C_{T2}) d_b$$

$$\tau_1 = s_1 \phi_{\text{tu}} + s_2 \phi_{\text{tr}}$$

$$\phi_1 = s_1 \phi_{\text{tu}} + (\Phi_D + \Phi_{T2}) d_e$$

$$\Phi_2 = s_1 \phi_{\text{tu}} + (\Phi_D + \Phi_{T2}) d_b$$

where

$$s_1 = \frac{2}{1 - C_T} \quad s_2 = \frac{2}{1 - C_T}$$

$$C_T = \frac{C_D - \Delta u}{C_D} \quad C_D = \frac{C_D}{C_{fu}}$$

$$\Phi_D = \frac{\Phi_{\text{tu}}}{\Phi_{fu}}$$

**C3. Coefficients of Equations (37), (38), and (29)**

$$a_1 = 2 \beta_\mu C_{fu} \left( \frac{C_D}{1 - C_T} - 1 \right)$$

$$a_2 = \beta_\mu C_{fu}$$

$$a_3 = \beta_\mu C_{fu}$$

$$a_4 = 2 \Phi_\text{tr} \frac{1}{1 - C_T}$$

$$a_5 = \Phi_D + \frac{C_D}{1 - C_T}$$

$$a_6 = \frac{r}{\beta_\mu \sqrt{\tau_{uu}}}$$

$$a_7 = 1$$

$$b_1 = -\beta_\mu C_{fu}$$

$$b_2 = 1 - \sqrt{C_{fu} k_2}$$

$$b_3 = -\frac{k_0}{\beta_\mu \sqrt{C_{fu}}} - \frac{k_1}{\beta_\mu^2 C_{fu}}$$

$$b_4 = -\frac{k_1}{\beta_\mu \sqrt{C_{fu}}}$$

$$b_5 = \frac{k_2}{\beta_\mu \sqrt{C_{fu}}}$$

**Notations**

- $a_i$ ($i = 1, 6$) constant coefficients
- $B$, $B_{avg}$, $B_0$ local, average and maximum half channel width
- $B$ dimensionless width perturbation
- $a_i$ ($i = 1, 6$) constant coefficients
- $C$ curvature of the channel axis
- $C_T$ local and uniform flow friction coefficient
- $C_D$ constant coefficients
- $C_{\text{env}}, C_{\text{em}}$ integration constants
- $D, D_s$ local and uniform flow depth
- $d_s$ sediment grain size
- $F_i$ vertical distribution of the uniform flow with local flow characteristics
- $F_j$ Froude number of the uniform flow
- $C_j$ constant coefficients
- $G_i$ vertical structure of the secondary flow due to channel axis curvature ($i = 0$) and longitudinal convection ($i = 1$)
- $g$ gravitational constant
- $g_{ij}$ constant coefficients
- $H$ local water surface elevation with respect to a given horizontal datum
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